Data Analysis on Registered Workers

Trade : Escalator Mechanic

For the period 1/1/2017 to 31/12/2017 (12 months)

| Registered | l Workers | RSW | Ratio | RSS | Ratio | RGW | Ratio | All | Ratio |
|-------------------------|--|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------|---------------|-------|----------|
| | start | 107 | 100.00% | | | | | 107 | |
| | end | 105 | 100.00% | | | | | 105 | |
| | total renewal | 10 | 100.00% | | | | | 10 | |
| | new | 0 | - | | | | | 0 | |
| | expired | 2 | 100.00% | | | | | 2 | |
| | renewal of expired registration from previous periods | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| DAR > 0 | | RSW | Ratio | RSS | Ratio | RGW | Ratio | All | |
| | | 24 | 100.00% | | | | | 24 | |
| | against nr. of registered workers | 105 | 22.86% | | | | | 105 | 22.86% |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| DAR = 0 | | RSW | Ratio | RSS | Ratio | RGW | Ratio | All | |
| | and the state of the state of the state of | 81 | 100.00% | | | | | 81 | 77.4.40/ |
| | against nr. of registered workers | 105 | 77.14% | | l l | | l | 105 | 77.14% |
| DAR > 0 | | RSW | | RSS | | RGW | | All | 7 |
| <i>/</i> AI(<i>/</i> 0 | average working days per week | 1.40 | | 1100 | | NOW | | 1.40 | 1 |
| | average working days per week | 1.40 | l | | l I | | | 1.40 | |
| Age Profile | e (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus | the number | ers may diffe | r slightly du | e to the dvn | amic natur | e of the data | set) | |
| .goo | , (autob of auto oxiliation for regions on memore and age premie are american and | RSW | Ratio | RSS | Ratio | RGW | Ratio | All | Ratio |
| | Below 20 | | 0.00% | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| | 20 - 29 | | 100.00% | | | | | 1 | 0.95% |
| | 30 - 39 | | 100.00% | | | | | 14 | 13.33% |
| | 40 - 49 | | 100.00% | | | | | 31 | 29.52% |
| | 50 - 59 | | 100.00% | | | | | 33 | 31.43% |
| | 60 or above | | 100.00% | | | | | 26 | 24.76% |
| | Total | | 100.00% | | | | | 105 | 100.00% |
| Phone Su | rvey conducted from Oct 2017 - Jan 2018 - 79 samples drawn with 12 succe | ssful inter | views | | | | | | |
| DAR > 0* | They conducted from Cot 2017 Can 2010 To campies drawn than 12 cases | RSW | 1 1 | RSS | | RGW | I | All | 7 |
| | average working days per week | | i | | | | | 7.00 | 1 |
| | with card record | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | _ |
| DAR = 0* | working in construction industry | | | | | | | | |
| | average working days per week | | | | | | | | |
| | with card record | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | _ |
| DAR Snap | shot | RSW | Ratio | RSS | Ratio | RGW | Ratio | All | |
| | average nr. of workers on any one day | 7 | 100.00% | | | | | 7 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| For DAR > | | RSW | Ratio | RSS | Ratio | RGW | Ratio | All | |
| | projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | 0 | |
| | with card record | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| | without card record | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| For DAR = | 0 | DCW | Dotio | DCC | Datia | DCW | Dotio | I All | 1 |
| FOI DAK = | | RSW | Ratio | RSS | Ratio | RGW | Ratio | All | |
| | projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | 0 | 1 |
| | projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day with card record | 0 | | | | | | 0 | 0.000/ |
| | with card record without card record | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| | without card IECUID | U | 0.00% | | | | 1 | U | 0.00% |
| | | RSW | Ratio | RSS | Ratio | RGW | Ratio | All | T |
| Projected i | nr. of workers engaged in construction industry | 24 | 100.00% | 1100 | ratio | 11.000 | ixauo | 24 | 1 |
| , ojoutou i | on any one day with card record | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| | on any one day without card record | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | 0 | 0.0070 |
| | Total | 0 | 0.00% | | | | 1 | 0 | <u> </u> |
| | | | 3.3070 | | | | | | 1 |
| RGW clain | ned to be carrying out work with skill content** | | | | | RGW | | 1 | |
| o.dii | DAR>0 | | | | | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | |
| | DAR=0 | | | | | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | • | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| DAR = 0 a | nd NOT engaged in the construction industry | RSW | | RSS | | RGW | | All | |
| | ratio not in the construction industry | | 100.00% | | | | | 0 | 100.00% |
| | projected nr. of workers | 81 | 0.00% | | | | | 81 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | main reasons for not engaged * - no successful case for RSS | | | | | | | | |
| | | RSW | Ratio | RSS | Ratio | RGW | Ratio | All | |
| | Retirement | 41 | 50.60% | 0 | 0.00% | | | 41 | 50.60% |
| | Could not find work | 28 | 34.80% | 0 | 0.00% | | | 28 | 34.80% |
| | Others | 12 | 14.60% | 0 | 0.00% | | | 12 | 14.60% |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | i . | | | |
| | key factors claimed by latent workers to attract them to return to the industry - no | o successfi | ul case for RS | SS | | | | | |
| | , and the second | | | | | | | | |
| | | RSW | Ratio | RSS | Ratio | RGW | Ratio | All | |
| | | 11000 | rano | 1,00 | italio | 7 | Rano | /30 | <u> </u> |

100.00%

^{*} Small sample size in RSW/RSS. Results shall be interpreted with caution.

** Respondents did not mention clearly if they are lift or escalator mechanics so the numbers cover both trades.

*** The survey data and registration figures do not include those registered as lift or escalator mechanics (Master)